

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,795	05/04/2001	Dinesh Kashinath Anvekar	P00047 7886	
7:	590 04/10/2006		EXAM	INER
Bhaskarpillai	Gopinath		OYEBISI	, OJO O
255 Old New B	runswick Road			
Suite N320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Piscataway, NJ 08854			3628	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/848,795	ANVEKAR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		OJO O. OYEBISI	3628			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M					
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	•					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 43	03 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>05/04/01</u> is/are: a) ⊠ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen  1) Notice	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
.2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 05/04/01.	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 09/848,795

Art Unit: 3628

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink (e.g., <a href="http://www.wqn.com/cards.asp">http://www.wqn.com/cards.asp</a>, see pg 1). Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9-10, 13-16, 19, 21-22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rademacher (Rad hereinafter, US PAT: 5,868,236), in view of Ronchi et al (Ronchi hereinafter, US PAT No: 2002/0077973) and further in view of Taskett (WO 96/38813).

Re claim 1. Rad discloses a method for delivering a unique personal identification number (PIN) representative of a cash amount inputted by a user into a PIN vending machine supplied by a merchant (see abstract), the method comprising storing in a centralized database a plurality of personal identification numbers (PINs) and an escrow amount associated with the merchant (Rad discloses that the vend machine can replenish its stock of activated PIN numbers by modem from a remote location (see abstract, also see col.5, lines50-53), thus

Art Unit: 3628

if PINS are transmitted from remote location, it is inherent that PINS and escrow amount are stored in a centralized database at a remote location), allocating an unassigned one of the PINs as the unique PIN in response to the cash amount inputted by the user (see abstract, also see summary of the invention), and dispensing to the user from the vending machine the unique PIN (see abstract). Rad does not explicitly disclose subtracting the inputted cash amount from the escrow amount. However, Ronchi makes this disclosure (see paras 0032, also see fig.5A element 533). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Ronchi's in Rad in order to provide the proper fund settlement for the electronic transaction. Neither Rad nor Ronchi explicitly disclose dispensing to the user from the vending machine the unique PIN and the digital signature (i.e., tracking number). However, Taskett makes this disclosure (see pg 5 lines 10-15, also see pg 9 lines 10-20). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Taskett's in combination of Rad and Ronchi so that the issuer can authenticate the account/PIN corresponding to the tracking number during transaction.

Re claim 2. Neither Rad nor Ronchi explicitly discloses the method as recited in claim 1 wherein the dispensing includes imprinting a receipt with the unique PIN and the digital signature. However, Taskett makes this disclosure. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Taskett's in combination of Rad and Ronchi so that the issuer can authenticate the account/PIN corresponding to the tracking number during transaction.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,795

Art Unit: 3628

Re claim 5. Claim 5 recites similar limitations to claim 1, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 1.

**Re claim 6.** Claim 6 recites similar limitations to claim 2, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 2.

**Re claim 9.** Claim 9 recites similar limitations to claim 1, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 1.

**Re claim 10.** Claim 10 recites similar limitations to claim 2, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 2.

**Re claims 13-16.** Claims 13-16 recite similar limitations to claim 1 and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 1.

**Re claim 19.** Claim 19 recites similar limitations to claim 1, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 1.

**Re claims 21-22.** Claims 21-22 recite similar limitations to claim 1, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 1.

**Re claim 23.** Claim 23 recites similar limitations to claim 2, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 2.

4. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8 11, 12, 17, 18 20, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rad in view of Ronchi and Taskett, as applied to claim 1, 5, 9, 16, 19, and 22 above, and further in view of Tsi.

Re claim 3. Neither Rad nor Ronchi and Taskett discloses the method as recited in claim 1 wherein the vending machine has a unique identifier and the dispensing includes generating the digital signature with reference to the unique vending machine identifier. Tsi makes this disclosure (I.E., CID generators, see

Art Unit: 3628

paras 0069-0073). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Rad/Ronchi/Taskett, and Tsi for tracing in dispensing terminals in case of fraud.

Re claims 4. Neither Rad nor Ronchi and Taskett discloses method as recited in claims 1 and 5 wherein the vending machine has a unique identifier and the dispensing occurs at a given date and time, and the dispensing includes generating the digital signature with reference to the unique vending machine identifier and given time and date. Tsi further makes this disclosure (see paras 0069-0073, also see paras 0132). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Rad/Ronchi/Taskett, and Tsi for tracing in dispensing terminals in case of fraud.

Re Claim 7. Claim 7 recites similar limitations to claim 4, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 4.

Re claim 8. Rad discloses the method as recited in claim 7 wherein the dispensing includes imprinting a receipt with the unique PIN except for the digital signature. Neither Ronchi nor Tsi explicitly disclose dispensing which includes imprinting a receipt with the unique PIN and digital signature. However, Taskett explicitly makes this disclosure. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Taskett's in combination of Rad, Ronchi and Tsi so that the issuer can authenticate the account/PIN corresponding to the tracking number during transaction.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,795

Art Unit: 3628

**Re claim 11.** Claim 11 recites similar limitations to claim 3, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 3.

Re claim 12. Claim 12 recites similar limitations to claim 4, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 4.

**Re claim 17.** Claim 17 recites similar limitations to claim 4, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 4.

**Re claim 18.** Claim 18 recites similar limitations to claim 8, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 8.

**Re claim 20.** Claim 20 recites similar limitations to claim 4, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 4.

**Re claim 24.** Claim 24 recites similar limitations to claim 3, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 3.

Re claim 25. Claim 25 recites similar limitations to claim 4, and thus rejected using the same art and rationale in the rejection of claim 4.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OJO O. OYEBISI whose telephone number is (571) 272-8298. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30A.M-5:30P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HYUNG S. SOUGH can be reached on (571)272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**